On the spectrum of the Laplace operator of metric graphs attached at a vertex – Spectral determinant approach

Christophe Texier

January 31, 2008

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modèles Statistiques, UMR 8626 du CNRS,
Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 100, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, UMR 8502 du CNRS,
Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 510, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

Abstract

We consider a metric graph $\mathcal{G}$ made of two graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ attached at one point. We derive a formula relating the spectral determinant of the Laplace operator $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = \det(\gamma - \Delta)$ in terms of the spectral determinants of the two subgraphs. The result is generalized to describe the attachment of n graphs. The formulae are also valid for the spectral determinant of the Schrödinger operator $\det(\gamma - \Delta + V(x))$.

PACS numbers : 02.70.Hm ; 02.10.Ox

Introduction.– Let us consider a bounded compact domain $\mathcal{D}_1$, part of a manifold. We denote by $\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta; \mathcal{D}_1)$ the set of solutions E of $-\Delta\psi(r) = E\psi(r)$ with $\psi(r)$ satisfying given boundary conditions at the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}_1$ (Sturm-Liouville problem). Similarly we consider a second bounded compact domain $\mathcal{D}_2$, distinct from $\mathcal{D}_1$ and denote $\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta; \mathcal{D}_2)$ the spectrum of the Laplace operator in $\mathcal{D}_2$. Now, if we can glue $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ by identification of parts of $\partial\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\partial\mathcal{D}_2$ in order to form a unique compact domain $\mathcal{D}$, the question is : can we relate the spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta; \mathcal{D})$ to $\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta; \mathcal{D}_1)$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta; \mathcal{D}_2)$? The aim of this article is to discuss this question in the particular case of metric graphs when two graphs are attached at one point. For that purpose the spectral information is encoded in the spectral determinant of the graph $\mathcal{G}$, formally defined as $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = \det(\gamma - \Delta)$. We first define basic notations and briefly recall some results on the spectral determinant of metric graphs. We derive the relation between the spectral determinant of a graph in terms of the two subgraph determinants, when subgraphs are attached by one point, as represented on figure 2.c. The relation is generalized to describe attachment of $n > 2$ graphs (figure 2.d) and to deal with Schrödinger operator. It is interesting to point out that our result is reminiscent of the gluing formula for elliptic operators acting on a manifold obtained in Ref. [1].

Metric graphs.– Let us consider a collection of V vertices, denoted here by greek letters α, β ..., connected between each others by B bonds, denoted $(\alpha\beta)$, $(\mu\nu)$... Each bond is associated with two oriented bonds, that we call arcs and denote as $\alpha\beta$, $\beta\alpha$, $\mu\nu, \nu\mu$.... The topology of the graph is characterized by its adjacency (or connectivity) matrix $a_{\alpha\beta}$: $a_{\alpha\beta} = 1$ if $(\alpha\beta)$ is a bond and $a_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ otherwise. The coordination number of the vertex α is denoted $m_\alpha = \sum_\beta a_{\alpha\beta}$. Up to now we have built a "combinatorial graph". If now each bond is identified with an interval $[0, l_{\alpha\beta}] \in \mathbb{R}$, where $l_{\alpha\beta}$ is the length of the bond $(\alpha\beta)$, the set of all connected bonds forms a "metric graph" (also called a "quantum graph").

A scalar function $\varphi(x)$ living on a graph $\mathcal{G}$ is defined by B components $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta})$ where $x_{\alpha\beta} \in [0, l_{\alpha\beta}]$ is the coordinate along the bond ($x_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ corresponds to vertex α and $x_{\alpha\beta} = l_{\alpha\beta}$ to vertex β). By construction $x_{\alpha\beta} + x_{\beta\alpha} = l_{\alpha\beta}$. Note that components are labelled by arc variables, since we must specify the orientation of the axis along which the coordinate is given ; Obviously $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta}) = \varphi_{\beta\alpha}(x_{\beta\alpha})$ for a scalar function. The action of the Laplace operator on the scalar function along a bond coincides with the one-dimensional Laplace operator $(\Delta\varphi)_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \varphi''_{\alpha\beta}(x)$. In order to define a self-adjoint operator, one must specify boundary conditions at the vertices. The most general conditions have been discussed in Ref. [2] (in general the question of boundary conditions is related to the precise nature of the scattering at the vertex [3, 4, 5, 6]). In the present article we consider the simple case of the Laplace operator acting on scalar functions that are continuous at the vertices : $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta} = 0) = \varphi_\alpha \ \forall \ \beta$ neighbour of α (that gives $m_\alpha - 1$ equations at the vertex α of coordination number m_α). Then one must impose another condition on derivatives of the function. For continuous boundary condition, the most general condition that ensures self-adjointness of Laplace operator is $\sum_\beta a_{\alpha\beta} \varphi'_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta} = 0) = \lambda_\alpha \varphi_\alpha$ with $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. The presence of the adjacency matrix in the sum, contraints this latter to run over vertices neighbour of α only. The m_α equations ensure self adjointness of Laplace operator. $\lambda_\alpha = \infty$ corresponds to Dirichlet boundary condition ($\varphi_\alpha = 0$). The study of the Laplace operator on a metric graph appears in several contexts, reviewed in Refs. [7, 8], like quantum mechanical problems : $-\Delta\varphi(x) = E\varphi(x)$ could be the Schrödinger equation. In such a case it can be more interesting to consider the situation of a graph submitted to a magnetic field, what is achieved by replacing the derivative by the covariant derivative, $\frac{d}{dx} \rightarrow D_x = \frac{d}{dx} - iA(x)$, where $A(x)$ is the vector potential. The boundary condition then reads $\sum_\beta a_{\alpha\beta} (D_x\varphi)_{\alpha\beta}(0) = \lambda_\alpha \varphi_\alpha$.

Figure 1: *Examples.* Left : *a graph with* $V = 8$ *vertices and* $B = 9$ *bonds.* Right : *a ring pierced by a magnetic flux* θ *attached to a wire* ($B = V = 2$).

Spectral determinant.– The spectral determinant of the Laplace operator Δ is formally defined as $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = \det(\gamma - \Delta)$, where γ is the spectral parameter. This object has been introduced in Ref. [9] in order to study magnetization of networks of metallic wires. Despite the Laplace operator acts in a space of infinite dimension, $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)$ can be related to the determinant of a finite size matrix [9] :

$$S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = \prod_{(\alpha\beta)} \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\gamma} l_{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \ \det \mathcal{M} \tag{1}$$

Note that the first product, runing over all bonds, coincides with the Dirichlet determinant (spectral determinant for Dirichlet conditions at all vertices). A similar decomposition was obtained for combinatorial graphs in Ref. [10]. The $V \times V$-matrix $\mathcal{M}$ has matrix elements :

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left(\lambda_\alpha + \sqrt{\gamma} \sum_\mu a_{\alpha\mu} \coth \sqrt{\gamma} l_{\alpha\mu} \right) - a_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma} \, e^{-i\theta_{\alpha\beta}}}{\sinh \sqrt{\gamma} l_{\alpha\beta}} \ . \tag{2}$$

This expression describes the case with magnetic field : $\theta_{\alpha\beta}$ is the circulation of the vector potential along the wire $\theta_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\alpha\beta} dx \, A(x)$. Generalization to the case of the spectral determinant of the Schrödinger (Hill) operator $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = \det(\gamma - \Delta + V(x))$ with generalized boundary conditions has been obtained in Refs. [11, 12] (see also the review articles [7, 8]). The result (1)

has been derived by two methods : (*i*) construction and integration of the Kernel of the operator $(-\Delta + \gamma)^{-1}$ [9, 7, 11, 12] : $\int_{\mathcal{G}} dx \langle x | \frac{1}{-\Delta+\gamma} | x \rangle = \partial_\gamma \ln S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)$. (*ii*) A path integral derivation [7]. These derivations give the spectral determinant, up to a numerical factor independent on γ (this is inessential for physical quantities since they are always related to $\partial_\gamma \ln S_{\mathcal{G}}$). In the present article, the precise prefactor of the spectral determinant is fixed by eq. (1). Doing so we do not provide a way to determine the γ-independent prefactor from the spectrum of the graph.

It is worth mentioning that the derivation of a ζ-regularized determinant allows to define the prefactor of the spectral determinant within the calculation. If we denote $\{E_n\}$ the spectrum of an operator $\mathcal{O}$, the determinant of this latter is defined thanks to the ζ-function $\zeta(s) = \sum_n E_n^{-s}$ as $\det_\zeta \mathcal{O} = \exp -\zeta'(0)$ [13]. This approach has been used in Ref. [14] where result of Ref. [11] for continuous boundary conditions has been obtained with a procedure fixing precisely the multiplicative factor[1].

Attachment of two graphs.– Let us consider two graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ characterized by matrices $\mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda_\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^{\lambda_\beta}$ for generalized boundary conditions at vertices α and β, characterized by parameters λ_α and λ_β. We denote by $S_1^{\lambda_\alpha}(\gamma)$ and $S_2^{\lambda_\beta}(\gamma)$ the corresponding spectral determinants.


Figure 2: *(a) to (c) : Attachment of two graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ (the dashed areas hide the structures of the graphs) : a bond $(\alpha\beta)$ is introduced, then he limit $l_{\alpha\beta} \to 0$ is taken.*

We now attach $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ with a bond $(\alpha\beta)$ (figure 2.b). The new graph is denoted $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$. The matrix $\mathcal{M}$ characterizing the new graph has the structure :

$$
\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix}
 & & & \vdots & & \cdot\cdot \\
 & \mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda'_\alpha} & & 0 & 0 & \\
 & & & -\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}} & 0 & \cdots \\
\hline
\cdots & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}} & & & \\
 & 0 & 0 & & \mathcal{M}_2^{\lambda'_\beta} & \\
\cdot\cdot & & \vdots & & &
\end{pmatrix} \tag{3}
$$

The diagonal blocks coincide with the matrices $\mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda_\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{M}_2^{\lambda_\beta}$ of the isolated graphs, provided a modification of the parameters describing boundary condition : $\lambda'_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha + \sqrt{\gamma} \coth \sqrt{\gamma} l_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\lambda'_\beta = \lambda_\beta + \sqrt{\gamma} \coth \sqrt{\gamma} l_{\alpha\beta}$ (the coth accounts for the additional wire). Then we see that

$$
\det \mathcal{M} = \det\left[\mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda'_\alpha} \right] \det\left[\mathcal{M}_2^{\lambda'_\beta} - \mathcal{J}^{(\beta)} \frac{\gamma}{\sinh^2 \sqrt{\gamma} l_{\alpha\beta}} \left[(\mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda'_\alpha})^{-1} \right]_{\alpha\alpha} \right] \tag{4}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}^{(\beta)}$ is the matrix with only one non zero matrix element equal to 1 on the diagonal corresponding to vertex β : $\mathcal{J}^{(\beta)}_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\beta}\delta_{\nu\beta}$. Below we introduce the notation $\mathcal{M}_1 \equiv \mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda'_\alpha = \lambda_\alpha}$

---

[1] We connect notations of Ref. [14] with ours. Matrix $A \to$ parameters λ_α's ; $\det(R(\lambda) + A) \to \det \mathcal{M}$; the Dirichlet determinant is $\det(H_D + \lambda) \to \prod_{(\alpha\beta)} \frac{2\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. Therefore Eq. (1.1) of Ref. [14] for the ζ-regularized spectral determinant shows that this latter is related to eq. (1) by $S_{\mathcal{G}}^\zeta(\gamma) = \frac{2^B}{\prod_\alpha m_\alpha} S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)$.

and $\mathcal{M}_2 \equiv \mathcal{M}_2^{\lambda'_\beta = \lambda_\beta}$ that denote matrices characterizing $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ before connection. Using

$$\left(\left[\mathcal{M}_1^{\lambda'_\alpha}\right]^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\alpha} = \left(\left[\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{J}^{(\alpha)}\sqrt{\gamma}\coth\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}\right]^{-1}\right)_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{(\mathcal{M}_1^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha}}{1 + (\mathcal{M}_1^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha}\sqrt{\gamma}\coth\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}} \quad (5)$$

a little bit of algebra gives :

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\mathcal{M} &= \det\mathcal{M}_1\det\mathcal{M}_2 \\
&\times \left\{1 + \sqrt{\gamma}\coth\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}\left[(\mathcal{M}_1^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha} + (\mathcal{M}_2^{-1})_{\beta\beta}\right] + \gamma(\mathcal{M}_1^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha}(\mathcal{M}_2^{-1})_{\beta\beta}\right\} \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

In the limit $\lambda_\alpha \to \infty$, corresponding to Dirichlet boundary condition, the determinant behaves linearly with λ_α, therefore we define the spectral determinant with Dirichlet boundary condition at vertex α and β as :

$$S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma) = \lim_{\lambda_\alpha \to \infty}\frac{S_1^{\lambda_\alpha}(\gamma)}{\lambda_\alpha}, \qquad S_2^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma) = \lim_{\lambda_\beta \to \infty}\frac{S_2^{\lambda_\beta}(\gamma)}{\lambda_\beta} \quad (7)$$

The Dirichlet-determinant is computed by eliminating in $\det\mathcal{M}_1$ the column and the line corresponding to vertex α. Therefore $(\mathcal{M}_1^{-1})_{\alpha\alpha} = S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}/S_1$. Finally, using eqs. (1,6), we obtain for the spectral determinant of the graph of figure 2.b :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\gamma}\,S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}}(\gamma) &= \cosh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta} \quad \left[S_1(\gamma)\sqrt{\gamma}S_2^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma) + \sqrt{\gamma}S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma)\,S_2(\gamma)\right] \\
&+ \sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta} \quad \left[S_1(\gamma)\,S_2(\gamma) + \sqrt{\gamma}S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma)\sqrt{\gamma}S_2^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma)\right] \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

At this stage it is interesting to discuss the simple case of a graph with Dirichlet boundary at vertices α and β. We can take the limit $\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta \to \infty$, which corresponds to the subsitution $S_1 \to \lambda_\alpha S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}$ and $S_2 \to \lambda_\beta S_2^{\mathrm{Dir}}$. We obtain the expected result $\lim_{\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta \to \infty}\frac{S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}}(\gamma)}{\lambda_\alpha\lambda_\beta} = \frac{\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}}{\sqrt{\gamma}}S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma)S_2^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma)$ equivalent to $\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta;\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}) = \mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta;\mathcal{G}_1)\cup\mathrm{Spec}(-\Delta;\mathcal{G}_2)\cup\{(\frac{n\pi}{l_{\alpha\beta}})^2; n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}$.

The last step of the graph attachment consists to take the limit $l_{\alpha\beta} \to 0$ (figure 2.c) we obtain :

$$S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = S_1(\gamma)\,S_2^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma) + S_1^{\mathrm{Dir}}(\gamma)\,S_2(\gamma) \quad (9)$$

which is the central result of the present article.

Example : Ring attached to a wire (figure 1).– If we consider for $\mathcal{G}_1$ a ring of perimeter L pierced by a flux θ (corresponding to $A(x) = \theta/L$), we have : $S_{\mathrm{ring}} = 2(\cosh\sqrt{\gamma}L - \cos\theta)$ and $S_{\mathrm{ring}}^{\mathrm{Dir}} = \frac{\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}L}{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. The graph $\mathcal{G}_2$ is a wire of length b with Neumann boundary at its ends (for a vertex α of coordination number $m_\alpha = 1$ the case $\lambda_\alpha = 0$ coincides with Neumann boundary condition) : $S_{\mathrm{wire}}^{\mathrm{both\ Neu}} = \sqrt{\gamma}\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}b$ and $S_{\mathrm{wire}}^{\mathrm{Neu/Dir}} = \cosh\sqrt{\gamma}b$. Therefore, we recover the simple result : $S(\gamma) = \sinh\sqrt{\gamma}b\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}L + 2\cosh\sqrt{\gamma}b\,(\cosh\sqrt{\gamma}L - \cos\theta)$ obtained directly from (1) in Ref. [15].

Eq. (8) is contained in eq. (9).– The result (9) has appeared as a limit of eq. (8), therefore it seems at first sight a particular case of this latter equation. We show now that eq. (8) can in fact be recovered from (9). For that purpose we proceed in two steps. First we attach a wire of length b to the graph $\mathcal{G}_1$. The graph formed is denoted $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{inter}}$ and the corresponding spectral determinants S_{inter} and $S_{\mathrm{inter}}^{\mathrm{Dir}}$, depending on the nature of the boundary condition at the end of the wire. Spectral determinants of the wire for the three different boundary conditions, $S_{\mathrm{wire}}^{\mathrm{both\ Neu}}$,

$S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{Neu/Dir}}$ and $S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{both Dir}} \equiv S_{\text{ring}}^{\text{Dir}}$, were given above. Therefore, from eq. (9) we obtain :

$$S_{\text{inter}} = S_1 S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{Neu/Dir}} + S_1^{\text{Dir}} S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{both Neu}} = S_1 \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}b + S_1^{\text{Dir}} \sqrt{\gamma} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}b \qquad (10)$$

$$S_{\text{inter}}^{\text{Dir}} = S_1 S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{both Dir}} + S_1^{\text{Dir}} S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{Neu/Dir}} = S_1 \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\gamma}b}{\sqrt{\gamma}} + S_1^{\text{Dir}} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}b \qquad (11)$$

In a second step we attach the graph $\mathcal{G}_2$ to the end of the wire of $\mathcal{G}_{\text{inter}}$. We use again eq. (9) from which it follows that $S_{\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}} = S_{\text{inter}} S_2^{\text{Dir}} + S_{\text{inter}}^{\text{Dir}} S_2$, that precisely coincides with eq. (8).

Attachment of two graphs for Schrödinger operator.– Let us consider the spectral determinant for the Schrödinger operator (Hill operator) $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = \det(\gamma - \Delta + V(x))$ with the same (continuous) boundary conditions as above. Let us first discuss how (1,2) are modified. $V_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta})$, with $x_{\alpha\beta} \in [0, l_{\alpha\beta}]$, is the component of the scalar potential $V(x)$ on the bond. An important ingredient is the solution $f_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta})$ of the differential equation $[\gamma - \frac{d^2}{dx_{\alpha\beta}^2} + V_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta})]f_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ on the interval $[0, l_{\alpha\beta}]$, satisfying $f_{\alpha\beta}(0) = 1$ and $f_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$. A second independent solution of the differential equation is $f_{\beta\alpha}(x_{\beta\alpha}) = f_{\beta\alpha}(l_{\alpha\beta} - x_{\alpha\beta})$ (one should not make a confusion : despite we use the same notation, the $2B$ functions $f_{\alpha\beta}$ are not the B components of a scalar function). If $V_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ we have obviously $f_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \frac{\sinh \sqrt{\gamma}(l_{\alpha\beta} - x)}{\sinh \sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}}$. It was shown in Ref. [11][2] that eqs. (1,2) are generalized by performing the substitution $\sqrt{\gamma} \coth \sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow -f'_{\alpha\beta}(0)$ and $\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sinh \sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}} \rightarrow -f'_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})$. The matrix $\mathcal{M}$ becomes $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}[\lambda_\alpha - \sum_\mu a_{\alpha\mu} f'_{\alpha\mu}(0)] + a_{\alpha\beta} f'_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta}) e^{-i\theta_{\alpha\beta}}$ and the spectral determinant takes the form[3] $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = [\prod_{(\alpha\beta)} f'_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})]^{-1} \det \mathcal{M}$.

We consider two graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ on which lives a scalar potential $V(x)$. If these two graphs are attached by a bond $(\alpha\beta)$ where the potential vanishes $[V(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$ and $V(x) = 0$ for $x \in (\alpha\beta)]$, the structure (3) still holds. Therefore all results derived above are still valid, and in particular eqs. (8,9) and also (12).

Attachment of n graphs.– We consider a graph $\mathcal{G}$ obtained by attachment of n graphs at the same point (figure 2.d). It is now easy to generalize (9) in order to describe this situation. We start from (9) : $S_{\mathcal{G}} = S_1 S_{2+\cdots+n}^{\text{Dir}} + S_1^{\text{Dir}} S_{2+\cdots+n}$ and use $S_{2+\cdots+n}^{\text{Dir}} = S_2^{\text{Dir}} \cdots S_n^{\text{Dir}}$. Proceeding by recurrence, we end with :

$$S_{\mathcal{G}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \underbrace{S_1^{\text{Dir}} \cdots S_{k-1}^{\text{Dir}}}_{\text{Dirichlet}} S_k \underbrace{S_{k+1}^{\text{Dir}} \cdots S_n^{\text{Dir}}}_{\text{Dirichlet}} . \qquad (12)$$

Cayley tree.– We can use (12) to study the case of a Cayley tree of coordination number z. We denote S_n the spectral determinant of a Cayley tree of depth n with $\lambda_\alpha = 0 \ \forall \alpha$. The spectral determinant for the similar graph with Dirichlet boundary at one of its end is denoted S_n^{Dir}. We proceed in two steps represented on figure 3 : first we attach $z-1$ such trees together, using (12). Then we attach a wire of length b by using (9). We find :

$$S_{n+1} = \left[(z-1) S_n \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}b + \sqrt{\gamma} S_n^{\text{Dir}} \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}b \right] \left(S_n^{\text{Dir}} \right)^{z-2} \qquad (13)$$

$$\sqrt{\gamma} S_{n+1}^{\text{Dir}} = \left[(z-1) S_n \sinh \sqrt{\gamma}b + \sqrt{\gamma} S_n^{\text{Dir}} \cosh \sqrt{\gamma}b \right] \left(S_n^{\text{Dir}} \right)^{z-2} \qquad (14)$$

---

[2] The notations used here are slightly different from those of Ref. [11]. They coincide with those of Refs. [16, 8].

[3] The fact that $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) \propto \det \mathcal{M}$ has already been demonstrated in Ref. [17], however the remaining factor has been obtained in Ref. [11] by construction of the resolvent in the graph. Note that the Dirichlet determinant $[\prod_{(\alpha\beta)} f'_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})]^{-1}$ may play a role in order to determine the full spectrum. A trivial example is the wire (with $V(x) = 0$) for which $\det \mathcal{M} = \gamma$, that does not determine the spectrum. Another example is studied in detail in section 12 of Ref. [7]. The γ-dependent factor $[\prod_{(\alpha\beta)} f'_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})]^{-1}$ is also important from a physical point of view since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \ln S(\gamma)$ (for $V(x) = 0$) has been shown to be related to several physical quantities [9, 7, 8].

with $S_1 = S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{both Neu}}$ and $S_1^{\text{Dir}} = S_{\text{wire}}^{\text{Neu/Dir}}$. Note that in the case $z = 2$ the recurrence is trivially solved and give the spectral determinants for a wire of length nb.


Figure 3: *Cayley tree of coordination number z (here 4) and depth n (here 3 before attachement and 4 after).*

Conclusion.– Let us come back to the initial question of the paper. Eq. (9) seems at first sight to involve only spectral information on graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$, $\mathcal{G}_2$ and $\mathcal{G}$, however we mentioned above that, in eq. (1), the γ-independent prefactor is not *a priori* fixed by spectral information. Therefore we can only provide here a partial answer to the initial question : given the spectral determinants of two metric graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$, defined by (1), we can determine the spectrum of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ formed by attaching the two graphs at a vertex. An interesting development would be to provide a relation similar to (9) when the spectral determinant *and* its γ-independent prefactor are constructed from the spectrum only. In the case of ζ-regularization of Ref. [14], the relation between ζ-regularized determinant and (1), mentioned in a footnote above, suggests that the relation for ζ-regularized determinants analogous to (9) also involves some information on the coordination numbers of vertices.

The choice of continuous boundary conditions was an important hypothesis in order to derive eqs. (9,12). Another simple choice of boundary conditions, assuming continuity of the derivative of the field at the vertices, is examined in the appendix. This leads to a relation with a similar structure, eq. (16). A question would be to generalize the results (12,16) to the case of general boundary conditions. This would require to formulate the problem with matrices coupling arcs [12] since in absence of continuity of the field or its derivative, one cannot introduce anymore vertex variables.

An interesting development would be to generalize (9) to other attachment procedures (graphs attached at more than one vertex). For that purpose, a helpful starting point may be the scattering interpretation of equation (9). If a graph is connected to an infinite wire, its spectrum is continuous and we can consider the scattering problem. A plane wave $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}kx}$ of energy $E = -\gamma = k^2$ sent from the infinite lead is reflected by the graph with a phase shift $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}kx + \mathrm{i}\delta(k^2)}$ given by $\cotg[\delta(E)/2] = -\sqrt{E}\frac{S^{\text{Dir}}(-E)}{S(-E)}$, as shown in Ref. [7] (eq. (117)), where $S^{\text{Dir}}(-E)$ corresponds to Dirichlet boundary condition at the vertex where infinite wire is attached. We can associate to the two graphs $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ two such phase shifts $\delta_1(E)$ and $\delta_2(E)$. The spectrum of the graph $\mathcal{G}$ obtained by attachement of $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ is given by the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition $\delta_1(E_n) + \delta_2(E_n) = 2n\pi$, that rewrites $\cotg[\delta_1/2] + \cotg[\delta_2/2] = 0$. Since the spectral determinant vanishes on the spectrum, $S(-E_n) = 0$, this shows that $S \propto \frac{S_1^{\text{Dir}}}{S_1} + \frac{S_2^{\text{Dir}}}{S_2}$ (note however that this argument misses a factor function of the energy ; see the footnote 3). The scattering problem has been studied for graphs with an arbitrary number of contacts (infinite leads) ; in particular expressions of the scattering matrix of a graph with L infinite leads is available in Ref. [18] (for $V(x) = 0$) and [6] (for $V(x) \neq 0$). It must also be pointed that the question of graph attachment has been studied in Ref. [19] and in particular how to construct the scattering matrix of a graph in terms of subgraphs scattering matrices. All these results

on scattering theory in graphs might help the construction of the spectral determinant of two graphs attached by $L > 1$ vertices.

Acknowledgments.– I thank Y. Colin de Verdière and Alain Comtet for interesting discussions.

Appendix : derivative continous at the vertices.– The boundary conditions discussed in this article ($\varphi(x)$ continuous and $\sum_\beta a_{\alpha\beta}\varphi'_{\alpha\beta}(0) = \lambda_\alpha \varphi_\alpha$) can be interpreted as the introduction of a δ-potential at the vertex. They are denoted "δ-coupling" in Ref. [17], where "δ'-coupling" are also introduced. These latter correspond to continuity of the derivative : $\varphi'_{\alpha\beta}(0) = \varphi'_\alpha \,\forall\, \beta$ neighbour of α and $\sum_\beta a_{\alpha\beta}\varphi_{\alpha\beta}(0) = \mu_\alpha \varphi'_\alpha$ (the limit $\mu_\alpha \to \infty$ corresponds to Neumann boundary condition $\varphi'_\alpha = 0$). The results of the present article are easily generalized to the case of δ'-couplings.

Spectral determinant.– The spectral determinant now involves the solution of the differential equation $[\gamma - \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x_{\alpha\beta}^2} + V_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta})]g_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ on the interval $[0, l_{\alpha\beta}]$, satisfying $g'_{\alpha\beta}(0) = 1$ and $g'_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta}) = 0$. The spectral determinant is given by $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = [\prod_{(\alpha\beta)} g_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})]^{-1} \det \mathcal{N}$ with $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}[\mu_\alpha - \sum_\nu a_{\alpha\nu}g_{\alpha\nu}(0)] - a_{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})\,\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta_{\alpha\beta}}$. Note that $[\prod_{(\alpha\beta)} g_{\alpha\beta}(l_{\alpha\beta})]^{-1}$ corresponds to the Neumann determinant : disconnected wires with Neumann boundary conditions $\mu_\alpha \to \infty \,\forall\, \alpha$). In the absence of a potential, $V(x) = 0$:

$$\mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\left(\mu_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}}\sum_\nu a_{\alpha\nu}\coth\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\nu}\right) + a_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\theta_{\alpha\beta}}}{\sqrt{\gamma}\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}} \ . \tag{15}$$

and $S_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma) = (\prod_{(\alpha\beta)} \sqrt{\gamma}\sinh\sqrt{\gamma}l_{\alpha\beta}) \det \mathcal{N}$.

Graph attachment.– We consider n Graphs charaterized by spectral determinants S_k for $k = 1, \cdots, n$. We introduce the notation $S_k^{\mathrm{Neu}} = \lim_{\mu_\alpha \to \infty} \frac{S_k}{\mu_\alpha}$, where α is the vertex of attachment of the n graphs (figure 2.d). Since spectral determinants for continuous boundary conditions and continuous derivative have similar structures, the results obtained in this article are easily generalized. In particular the result (12), from which other results have been derived, becomes for δ'-couplings

$$S_{\mathcal{G}} = \sum_{k=1}^n \underbrace{S_1^{\mathrm{Neu}}\cdots S_{k-1}^{\mathrm{Neu}}}_{\text{Neumann}} S_k \underbrace{S_{k+1}^{\mathrm{Neu}}\cdots S_n^{\mathrm{Neu}}}_{\text{Neumann}} \ . \tag{16}$$

References

[1] D. Burghelea, L. Friedlander, and T. Kappeler, Meyer-Vietoris type formula for determinants of elliptic differential operators, J. Funct. Anal. **107**, 34 (1992).

[2] V. Kostrykin and R. Schrader, Kirchhoff's rule for quantum wires, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32**, 595 (1999).

[3] N. I. Gerasimenko and B. S. Pavlov, Scattering problems on noncompact graphs, Theor. Math. Phys. **74**, 230 (1988).

[4] P. Exner and P. Šeba, Free quantum motion on a branching graph, Rep. Math. Phys. **28**, 7 (1989).

[5] V. Adamyan, Scattering matrices for microschemes, Oper. Theory: Adv. & Appl. **59**, 1 (1992).

[6] C. Texier and G. Montambaux, Scattering theory on graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34**, 10307–10326 (2001).

[7] E. Akkermans, A. Comtet, J. Desbois, G. Montambaux, and C. Texier, On the spectral determinant of quantum graphs, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **284**, 10–51 (2000).

[8] A. Comtet, J. Desbois, and C. Texier, Functionals of the Brownian motion, localization and metric graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, R341–R383 (2005).

[9] M. Pascaud and G. Montambaux, Persistent currents on networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 4512 (1999).

[10] Y. Colin de Verdière, Déterminants et intégrales de Fresnel, Annales de l'Institut Fourier **49**, 861 (1999).

[11] J. Desbois, Spectral determinant of Schrödinger operators on graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33**, L63 (2000).

[12] J. Desbois, Spectral determinant on graphs with generalized boundary conditions, Eur. Phys. J. B **24**, 261 (2001).

[13] R. Forman, Functional determinants and geometry, Invent. math. **88**, 447 (1987).

[14] L. Friedlander, Determinant of the Schrödinger operator on a metric graph, Contemporary Mathematics **415**, 151 (2006).

[15] C. Texier and G. Montambaux, Quantum oscillations in mesoscopic rings and anomalous diffusion, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, 3455–3471 (2005).

[16] C. Texier and P. Degiovanni, Charge and current distribution in graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36**, 12425–12452 (2003).

[17] P. Exner, A duality between Schrödinger operators on graphs and certain Jacobi matrices, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré : Phys. Théor. **66**, 359 (1997).

[18] J. E. Avron and L. Sadun, Adiabatic quantum transport in networks with macroscopic components, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **206**, 440 (1991).

[19] V. Kostrykin and R. Schrader, The generalized star product and the factorization of scattering matrices on graphs, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 1563 (2001).