Traveling Waves, Front Selection, and Exact Nontrivial Exponents in a Random Fragmentation Problem

P. L. Krapivsky[1,2] and Satya N. Majumdar[2,3]

[1]*Center for Polymer Studies and Department of Physics, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts 02215*
[2]*CNRS, IRSAMC, Laboratoire de Physique Quantique, Université Paul Sabatier, 31062 Toulouse, France*
[3]*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabba Road, Mumbai-400005, India*
(Received 7 June 2000)

We study a random bisection problem where an interval of length x is cut into two random fragments at the first stage, then each of these two fragments is cut further, etc. We compute the probability $P_n(x)$ that at the nth stage, each of 2^n fragments is shorter than 1. We show that $P_n(x)$ approaches a traveling wave form, and the front position x_n increases as $x_n \sim n^\beta \rho^n$ for large n with $\rho = 1.261\,076\ldots$ and $\beta = 0.453\,025\ldots$. We also solve the m-section problem where each interval is broken into m fragments and show that $\rho_m \approx m/(\ln m)$ and $\beta_m \approx 3/(2\ln m)$ for large m. Our approach establishes an intriguing connection between extreme value statistics and traveling wave propagation in the context of the fragmentation problem.

PACS numbers: 05.40.–a, 02.50.–r, 64.60.–i

The statistics of extremes plays an important role in various branches of physics, statistics, and mathematics [1,2]. For example, in physics of disordered systems, the statistics of extremely low energy states governs the thermodynamic behavior at low temperatures [3]. The extreme-value statistics is well understood when random variables are *independent* and identically distributed. Much less is known when the random variables are correlated. In the replica language, this class of problems corresponds to full replica symmetry breaking [3]. Thus it would be important to derive exact results for extreme value statistics for correlated random variables.

On the other hand, there is a wide variety of problems in physics, chemistry, and biology that allows for solutions with propagating traveling waves [4]. The problem of front propagation into an unstable state dates back to the pioneering studies [5,6] motivated by gene spreading in a population. Numerous traveling wave solutions were found in combustion [7] and reaction diffusion systems [8]; they also appear in the mean-field theory of directed polymers in random medium [9], calculations of Lyapunov exponents [10,11], pattern formation [12], and many other problems [13]. Usually the traveling wave has an exponentially decaying front that advances with a uniform velocity from a stable state to an unstable state. Out of a continuum of possible allowed velocities of the front, a unique value is usually selected. This velocity selection mechanism has been investigated by a variety of methods [4,6,13–16], and it was found that for "sufficiently steep" initial conditions the minimal velocity is usually selected.

Is there a connection between these two sets of problems? In this paper, we establish such a connection in the context of a random fragmentation problem (RFP). This problem, besides being an exactly solvable example of extreme value statistics for correlated random variables, is also important in computer science where it is known as the random binary search tree problem [17–20]. Here we use standard techniques of statistical physics to solve this problem exactly. Our study reveals that there is perhaps a very general and deep connection between the two seemingly unrelated topics of extreme value statistics and propagating wave solutions. This connection was also noticed recently in the context of a particle in a random correlated potential problem [21].

The RFP studied here is rather similar to the random multiplicative branching processes studied in the context of energy cascades in turbulence [22], rupture processes in earthquakes [23], and financial crashes in stock markets [24]. The questions asked here (mostly motivated by computer science) are different from those in the other problems. However, since these different models are rather similar to each other, we expect that the results obtained and the new questions posed here might be relevant to these other problems as well.

The RFP can be formulated as follows. An interval of length x is cut into two halves of lengths $x_1 = rx$ and $x_2 = (1 - r)x$, respectively, where r is the random number chosen from the uniform distribution over $[0, 1]$. Next, each of these two fragments is cut again. After the nth step, there are 2^n fragments whose lengths are correlated random variables (correlations are dynamically generated). Given the initial length x, what is the probability $P_n(x, l)$ that each of the 2^n fragments is shorter than l? In other words, what is the probability that the longest of these 2^n fragments would be shorter than l? The l dependence is simple, $P_n(x, l) = P_n(x/l)$, so in the following we set $l = 1$ without loss of generality.

Clearly, $P_n(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \geq 2^n$. Moreover, there exists a threshold x_n such that, for large n, $P_n(x) \to 1$ for $x \ll x_n$ and $P_n(x) \to 0$ for $x \gg x_n$. The structure is that of an advancing front and the front position x_n increases with n as ρ^n for large n. This intriguing "phase transition" was established in Refs. [17,18] and finally rigorously proved by Devroye [25] who also computed exactly the value

 0031-9007/00/85(26)/5492(4)$15.00 © 2000 The American Physical Society

of $\rho = 1.261\,076\ldots$. Recently, Hattori and Ochiai [26] conjectured, based on numerical simulation, that the more precise asymptotic behavior of x_n reads

$$x_n \sim n^{\beta}\rho^n, \tag{1}$$

and found numerically that $\beta \approx 0.407$.

In this paper, we derive this asymptotic result by using methods of statistical physics. In particular, we rederive the value of ρ in a physically transparent way and compute exactly the value of $\beta = 0.453\,025\ldots$, which is a completely new result. Our technique consists of employing a scaling ansatz which reduces the problem to solving an equation that admits traveling wave solutions. We then select an appropriate solution by employing a well-known front selection principle. Our technique also allows us to obtain exact results for a multisection problem where each interval is cut into m random pieces at every stage. Once again, the distribution $P_n(x)$ follows the zero-one law, with the threshold value $x_n(m) \sim n^{\beta_m}[\rho_m]^n$. As in the $m = 2$ case, we compute the exponents ρ_m and β_m exactly for arbitrary m. For example, $\rho_3 = 1.499\,118\ldots$ and $\beta_3 = 0.429\,815\ldots$, and $\rho_m \to m/\ln m$ and $\beta_m \to 3/(2\ln m)$ when $m \to \infty$. We also show that the variance of the front position $x_n(m)$ is always finite.

We first consider the $m = 2$ case, i.e., the bisection problem. It is easy to see that $P_n(x)$ satisfies the exact recursion relation,

$$P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{x}\int_0^x dy\, P_n(y)P_n(x-y), \tag{2}$$

with the initial condition, $P_0(x) = \theta(1-x)$, where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. The prefactor $1/x$ on the right hand side of Eq. (2) is the probability that the point where the interval is cut into two pieces is chosen randomly from the interval $[0, x]$. Taking the Laplace transform $Q_n(s) = \int_0^\infty dx\, e^{-sx}P_n(x)$ of Eq. (2), we get

$$\frac{dQ_{n+1}(s)}{ds} = -Q_n^2(s). \tag{3}$$

Physically, one expects that, as n grows, $P_n(x)$ will be nonzero for $x < x_n$ and then will rapidly decay to zero for $x > x_n$ where x_n is a threshold. An appropriate definition of x_n would be

$$x_n = \int_0^\infty dx\, P_n(x). \tag{4}$$

Thus, it is natural to rewrite $P_n(x)$ in the scaling form $P_n(x) = f_n(x/x_n)$. Thence, the Laplace transform reads $Q_n(s) = x_nF_n(sx_n)$ with $F_n(z) = \int_0^\infty dy\, e^{-zy}f_n(y)$. Substituting this form of $Q_n(s)$ into Eq. (3), we get

$$\frac{dF_{n+1}(z)}{dz} = -\left(\frac{x_n}{x_{n+1}}\right)^2\left[F_n\left(\frac{zx_n}{x_{n+1}}\right)\right]^2. \tag{5}$$

Note that, by definition, $Q_n(0) = x_n$ implying $F_n(0) = 1$ for all n. Additionally, $f_n(0) = 1$ leads to $F_n(0) \to z^{-1}$ as $z \to \infty$. For convenience, we make a further substitution, $F_n(z) = [1 - H_n(z)]/z$, which recasts Eq. (5) into

$$z\frac{dH_{n+1}}{dz} = H_n^2\left(\frac{zx_n}{x_{n+1}}\right) - 2H_n\left(\frac{zx_n}{x_{n+1}}\right) + H_n(z), \tag{6}$$

with the boundary conditions $H_n(0) = 1$ and $H_n(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$.

We first focus on the computing of ρ in the asymptotic relation (1). To determine ρ, we seek a "stationary," i.e., independent of n, solution of Eq. (6). Using $x_n \sim n^{\beta}\rho^n$ and taking the $n \to \infty$ limit, we find that the stationary solution satisfies

$$z\frac{dH(z)}{dz} = H^2\left(\frac{z}{\rho}\right) - 2H\left(\frac{z}{\rho}\right) + H(z), \tag{7}$$

where $H(0) = 1$ and $H(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$. While we could not solve this nonlocal and nonlinear differential equation, we can determine the "eigenvalue" ρ exactly through the asymptotic analysis. Indeed, in the large z limit, $H(z)$ is small and thus one can neglect the nonlinear term in Eq. (7). The resulting linear equation admits a power law solution, $H(z) = az^{-\mu}$, with

$$\rho = \left[\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right]^{1/\mu}. \tag{8}$$

Thus, a wide range of possible μ's is in principle allowed. However, usually a particular value is selected depending on the initial condition of the system. This is very similar to the problem of velocity selection in a large class of problems with wave propagation [8,14] and it is well known that for a wide class of initial conditions the extremum value is chosen. In the present case, the function on the right hand side of Eq. (8) has a unique maximum at $\mu = \mu^*$, which is a root of $\ln(\frac{1+\mu^*}{2}) = \mu^*/(1+\mu^*)$. Though we have not proved explicitly that the extremum value is indeed chosen, one can infer this conclusion from the general principle of front selection.

The exponent β characterizes the next to leading asymptotic behavior of the front. Therefore, to compute β we need to consider the full equation (6) rather than its $n \to \infty$ limit. A subleading asymptotic behavior of traveling fronts was originally analyzed by Bramson [14] for a reaction-diffusion equation, and recently investigated in [13,15,16]. Here we employ an approach of Ref. [15]. For finite but large n, we make the following scaling ansatz for the function $H_n(z)$:

$$H_n(z) \approx n^{\alpha}G\left(\frac{\ln z}{n^{\alpha}}\right)z^{-\mu^*}, \tag{9}$$

where the exponent α and the scaling function $G(y)$ are yet to be determined. The scaling function $G(y)$ must vanish as $y \to \infty$. Also, $G(y) \sim y$ as $y \to 0$ to ensure that, for large n, $H_n(z) \sim z^{-\mu^*}$ and is independent of n. We substitute this scaling ansatz in Eq. (6) and use $x_n \sim n^{\beta}\rho^n$ where ρ is already known exactly from Eq. (8).

Using the exact value of μ^*, we find that different leading order terms are comparable only with the special choice $\alpha = 1/2$. In that case, the scaling function $G(y)$ satisfies an ordinary differential equation,

$$(\ln\rho)^2 \frac{d^2G}{dy^2} + y\frac{dG}{dy} + (2\beta\mu^* - 1)G(y) = 0, \quad (10)$$

with the boundary conditions $G(y) \sim y$ for $y \to 0$ and $G(y) \to 0$ as $y \to \infty$. This therefore constitutes an eigenvalue problem where β is the required eigenvalue. The exact solution of this differential equation that satisfies the boundary condition at $y \to \infty$ is given by [27]

$$G(y) = A\, e^{-(y^2/4\ln^2\rho)}\, D_{2(\beta\mu^*-1)}\left(\frac{y}{\ln\rho}\right), \quad (11)$$

where A is a constant and $D_p(\cdot)$ is the parabolic cylinder function of order p. From the known properties of cylinder functions [27], we find that the boundary condition, $G(y) \sim y$ as $y \to 0$, selects the eigenvalue $2(\beta\mu^* - 1) = 1$. Thus, the exponent β is exactly determined in terms of the known μ^*,

$$\beta = \frac{3}{2\mu^*}. \quad (12)$$

Using $\mu^* = 3.311\,070\ldots$, we get $\beta = 0.453\,025\ldots$. Our exact result slightly differs from the numerical value $\beta \approx 0.407$ obtained in Ref. [26]. An accurate numerical determination of β is not simple as it is a subleading correction to the leading asymptotic behavior.

The bisection problem can be straightforwardly generalized to the m-section problem where at each stage every interval is cut into m random pieces [28]. The probability $P_n(x)$ that at the nth stage each of m^n fragments is shorter than 1 satisfies the exact recursion relation,

$$P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{(m-1)!}{x^{m-1}} \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^m dy_j\, P_n(y_j) \times \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i - x\right), \quad (13)$$

with the initial condition, $P_0(x) = \theta(1 - x)$. This equation can be easily derived as follows. At a given stage n, an interval of length x is cut into m fragments. Let $z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1}$ denote the location of the points at which the interval is cut. The allowed range of values of the coordinates $[z_1, \ldots, z_{m-1}]$ is the $(m-1)$-dimensional simplex: $x \geq z_{m-1} \geq \ldots \geq z_1 \geq 0$. The volume of this simplex is $x^{m-1}/(m-1)!$, and this explains the prefactor of Eq. (13). Finally, by changing coordinates to $y_1 = z_1$, $y_2 = z_2 - z_1, \ldots, y_m = x - z_{m-1}$, one obtains Eq. (13). For $m = 2$, Eq. (13) reduces to Eq. (2), and we proceed exactly as in the $m = 2$ case. The Laplace transform, $Q_n(s)$, satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^{m-1}Q_{n+1}(s)}{ds^{m-1}} = (-1)^{m-1}(m-1)![Q_n(s)]^m. \quad (14)$$

We again expect the threshold to grow as $n^{\beta_m}(\rho_m)^n$. To determine ρ_m, we assume that P_n approaches the scaling form, $P_n(x) = f(x/x_n(m))$, in the large n limit. Thence, $Q_n(s) = x_n(m)F(sx_n(m))$ where $x_n(m) = \int_0^\infty dx\, P_n(x)$ and $F(z) = \int_0^\infty dz\, e^{-zy} f(y)$. By inserting the scaling form for $Q_n(s)$ and $x_n(m) \sim n^{\beta_m}(\rho_m)^n$ into Eq. (14) we finally arrive at the nonlocal differential equation

$$\frac{d^{m-1}F(z)}{dz^{m-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}(m-1)!}{(\rho_m)^m}\left[F\left(\frac{z}{\rho_m}\right)\right]^m. \quad (15)$$

Substituting $F = [1 - H(z)]/z$ and linearizing the resulting equation for $H(z)$ in the large z limit, one finds a solution that behaves algebraically, $H(z) \sim z^{-\mu_m}$, in the large z limit. A straightforward algebra then shows that ρ_m depends on μ_m via

$$\rho_m = \left[\frac{\Gamma(\mu_m + m)}{\Gamma(\mu_m + 1)\Gamma(m+1)}\right]^{(1/\mu_m)}, \quad (16)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function. Equation (16) is the generalization of Eq. (8) for the arbitrary m case. Once again, the function on the right-hand side has a unique maximum at $\mu_m = \mu_m^*$ and this maximum is selected. In particular, $\rho_3 = \exp[\frac{(2b+3)}{(b+1)(b+2)}]$ where b is found from $b(2b+3) = (b+1)(b+2)\ln[(b+1)(b+2)/6]$. Solving this numerically gives $\rho_3 = 1.499\,118\ldots$ and $\mu_3^* = 3.489\,870\ldots$.

The exponent β_m can be determined exactly for arbitrary m. We do not repeat the calculation as it follows the same steps as for $m = 2$ and the final result is given by the same expression (12), i.e., $\beta_m = 3/(2\mu_m^*)$. For instance, $\beta_3 = 0.429\,815\ldots$, and generally the exponent β_m decreases with increasing m.

One can easily derive the asymptotic behavior of ρ_m and β_m. Taking logarithms on both sides of Eq. (16) and differentiating with respect to μ_m one finds that the maximum occurs at $\mu_m^* \approx \ln m$. Substituting this into Eqs. (12) and (16) gives the large m asymptotics

$$\rho_m \approx \frac{m}{\ln m}, \qquad \beta_m \approx \frac{3}{2\ln m}. \quad (17)$$

In this work, we have established a relationship between two seemingly disparate subjects—the statistics of extremes and traveling wave propagation. Specifically, we have shown that the probability density of the maximal fragment length, which is the derivative of the distribution $P_n(x)$, approaches the solitary traveling wave in the large n limit. This traveling wave has a finite width which implies, in the context of the random binary search trees, that the variation of the height of a tree is finite. This result has long been anticipated on the basis of numerical experiments, but remained intractable [19,29].

The present work admits several extensions. One could assume that an interval can be cut into fragments of

relative lengths r and $1 - r$ with the probability density $\pi(r)$ which is arbitrary apart from normalization and symmetry requirements, $\pi(r) = \pi(1 - r)$ and $\int_0^1 dr\, \pi(r) = 1$. Besides the uniform probability density, $\pi(r) = 1$, one could solve the random bisection problem for a number of other densities, e.g., for $\pi(r) = 6r(1 - r)$. The basic result, Eq. (1), always holds but the exponents do depend on the probability density $\pi(r)$.

One could also modify the random bisection problem by deciding to cut only those intervals which are still longer than 1. This problem can be solved by employing similar techniques as the original one. The most interesting question is how does the total number of intervals $N(x)$, which are left after all intervals will be shorter than 1, depend on the initial length x? For the original random bisection problem with the uniform probability density, our solution implies $N(x) \sim x^{\gamma}(\ln x)^{-\delta}$ with $\gamma = \ln 2/\ln\rho \cong 2.9881$ and $\delta = \beta\gamma \cong 1.353\,68$. For the modified version, we have found $N(x) \simeq 2x$. Thus, the modified algorithm is much more effective if we want to minimize the total number of cuts.

Apart from straightforward applications to the random search tree problem in computer science, our results have implications in a number of topics of current interest in physics, chemistry, and geophysics. One obvious application is to the fracture of a rock, or to the breakup of a polymer. Generally, systems involving treelike structures often lead to recursion relations similar to Eq. (2) as exemplified by directed polymers on disordered trees [9], cascade processes [22], and hierarchical models of rupture [23]. Finally, we mention a concrete possible application to granular materials. Recent experiments have studied the propagation of stresses in a granular pile of glass beads subjected to a large vertical overload [30]. Our model is closer to the situation when the vertical overload is localized. This force gets transmitted from the top layer to the bottom layer. If this external force greatly exceeds the weight of individual grains, $F \gg w$, and if fractions of force transmitted from a grain to its neighbors in the lower layer are random, then the model studied above gives the mean-field description of the force transmission. Our results then imply that if the force from a grain in a given layer is always transmitted to m grains in the lower layer, than the granular material should contain at least $\ln(F/F_*)/\ln\rho_m$ layers to guarantee that the normal force supported by any grain at the bottom layer never exceeds F_*.

P. L. K. acknowledges support from NSF, ARO, and CNRS.

[1] E. J. Gumbel, *Statistics of Extremes* (Columbia University Press, New York, 1958).
[2] J. Galambos, *The Asymptotic Theory of Extreme Order Statistics* (R.E. Krieger Publishing Co., Malabar, 1987).
[3] J.-P. Bouchaud and M. Mezard, J. Phys. A **30**, 7997 (1997).
[4] W. van Saarloos, Phys. Rev. A **39**, 6367 (1989).
[5] R. A. Fisher, Ann. Eugenics **7**, 355 (1937).
[6] A. Kolmogorov, I. Petrovsky, and N. Piscounov, Mosc. Univ. Bull. Math. A **1**, 1 (1937); translated and reprinted in P. Pelce, *Dynamics of Curved Fronts* (Academic Press, San Diego, 1988).
[7] Ya. B. Zeldovich, G. I. Barenblatt, V. B. Librovich, and G. M. Makhviladze, *The Mathematical Theory of Combustion and Explosions* (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1985).
[8] J. D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).
[9] B. Derrida and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. **51**, 817 (1988); B. Derrida, Phys. Scr. **T38**, 6 (1991).
[10] J. Cook and B. Derrida, J. Stat. Phys. **61**, 817 (1990).
[11] R. van Zon, H. van Beijeren, and C. Dellago, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2035 (1998).
[12] M. C. Cross and P. C. Hohenberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **65**, 851 (1992).
[13] For a recent review, see U. Ebert and W. van Saarloos, Physica (Amsterdam) **146D**, 1 (2000).
[14] M. Bramson, *Convergence of Solutions of the Kolmogorov Equation to Travelling Waves* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1983).
[15] E. Brunet and B. Derrida, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 2597 (1997); Comput. Phys. Commun. **121–122**, 376 (1999).
[16] U. Ebert and W. van Saarloos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1650 (1998).
[17] J. M. Robson, Aust. Comput. J. **11**, 151 (1979).
[18] B. Pittel, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **103**, 461 (1984).
[19] H. M. Mahmoud, *Evolution of Random Search Trees* (John Wiley, New York, 1992).
[20] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming: Sorting and Searching* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1998), Vol. 3.
[21] D. Carpentier and P. Le Doussal, cond-mat/0003281.
[22] M. Greiner, H. C. Eggers, and P. Lipa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5333 (1998).
[23] W. I. Newman and A. M. Gabrielov, Int. J. Fract. **50**, 1 (1991); W. I. Newman, A. M. Gabrielov, T. A. Durand, S. L. Phoenix, and D. L. Turcotte, Physica (Amsterdam) **77D**, 200 (1994).
[24] D. Sornette and A. Johansen, Physica (Amsterdam) **261A**, 581 (1998).
[25] L. Devroye, J. ACM **33**, 489 (1986).
[26] T. Hattori and H. Ochiai (to be published).
[27] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products* (Academic Press, New York, 1980).
[28] L. Devroye, Random Struct. Algorithms **1**, 191 (1990).
[29] L. Devroye and B. Reed, SIAM J. Comput. **24**, 1157 (1995).
[30] C.-h. Liu, S. R. Nagel, D. A. Schecter, S. N. Coppersmith, S. N. Majumdar, O. Narayan, and T. Witten, Science **269**, 513 (1995); D. M. Mueth, H. M. Jaeger, and S. R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 3164 (1998).