Problem 2: the free-energy & the freezing transition

1 THE CRITICAL TEMPERATURE & FREEZING TRANSITION

We have
$$Z_{N} = \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N}} e^{-\beta E_{k}} \int dE N_{N}(E) \bar{e}^{\beta E}$$

The free-energy:
 $f(\beta) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{\beta N}\right) \log Z_{N}^{typ}$ and Using $\mathcal{E} = E/N$:
 $Z_{N}^{typ} = \int dE N_{N}^{typ}(E) \bar{e}^{\beta E} = N \int d\epsilon e^{N(\log 2 - \epsilon^{2}/2) + c(N)} \bar{e}^{\beta N \epsilon}$
 $-\sqrt{2\log 2}$
Saddle-point calculation:
 $Z_{N}^{typ} = e^{N \sum_{\epsilon \in [-\sqrt{2\log 2}, \sqrt{2\log 2}]} \left(\log 2 - \frac{\epsilon^{2}}{2} - \beta \epsilon \right)$

Call \mathcal{E}_{p}^{*} the point Where the maximum is altained. The stationary point satisfies $\frac{\partial S(\varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon} - \beta = 0 = -\varepsilon - \beta$, meaning $\varepsilon = -\beta = -1/T$. This is acceptable if it belongs to the domain, i.e. $|\varepsilon| < \sqrt{2\log 2}$, which is two provided that

$$T \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\log 2}} = T_{g}.$$

For $T < T_g$, the maximum is attained at the boundary of the interval, $\mathcal{E}_{p}^{*} = -\sqrt{2\log 2}$. Therefore: $\mathcal{E}_{p}^{*} = \begin{cases} -1/T & \text{for } T \ge T_g = 1/\sqrt{2\log 2} \\ -\sqrt{2\log 2} & \text{for } T < T_g = 2/\sqrt{2\log 2} \end{cases}$

Which gives:

$$f(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left(S(\epsilon_{\beta}^{*}) - \beta \epsilon_{\beta}^{*} \right)^{2} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\log 2 - \beta^{2}/2 + \beta^{2} \right) & \text{TzTg} \\ -\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\beta \sqrt{2 \log 2} \right) & \text{T$$

=
$$\int -T \log 2 - 1/2T$$
 $T \ge T_g$
- $V \ge \log 2^2$ $T < T_g$ FREEZING! For all $T \le T_f$,
System behaves as
if it was frozen at T_f

This transition is of 2nd order thermodynamically, in the sense that the derivative of the free-energy is continuous at T=Ty. [2] FLUCTUATIONS, & BACK TO AVERAGE VS TYPICAL

Let us compute the average of the partition
function:
$$\overline{Z}_{N} = \int dE \ \overline{N_{N}(E)} \ \overline{C}^{BE} = \int dE \ e^{N\left[\log^{2} - \frac{E^{2}}{2} - \beta E\right] + O(N)}$$

In this case, there is no restriction to the domain,
and the saddle point value is always $E_{p}^{*} = -\beta$
Then $\int_{a}^{a} = -\left(\frac{\log 2}{\beta} + \frac{B}{2}\right)$
which coincides with the quenched free-energy of the model
only when $T \ge T_{q}$. In the low-T phase, this is
smaller than the quenched free-energy:



The average
$$\Xi_{N}$$
 is much larger than its
typical value, because is dominated by rare
events: realizations of the randomness for
which there are energies $\Xi_{X} < -N \sqrt{2102}$, which
OCCUR with exponentially small probability.
However, this exponential smallness is compensated
by the largeness of the Boltzmann weight
when computing the average:
 $\overline{Z} = N \int dE \overline{N_{N}}(E) e^{-\beta NE} = exponentially large
lexponentially small
when Tets: RARE
The typical value Z_{N}^{top} accounts only for
instructs only for$

instances ocwaring with probability of O(1) when N -> 00.

3 ENTROPY CRISIS

Let us look at the entropy in the two phases. The equation for ε_{β}^{*} graphically corresponds to choosing ε_{β}^{*} such that the tangent of $S(\varepsilon)$ at ε_{β}^{*} has slope β :



The maximal slope corresponds to $\mathcal{E}^* = -\sqrt{2} \log 2$, where $S(\mathbf{z})$ vanishes: for $\beta > \beta_{\mathbf{z}}$, the saddle point is stuck there an $S(\mathbf{z}) = 0$. At $T_{\mathbf{z}}$ one also has a transition from positive to zero entropy:

 $\begin{array}{l} \label{eq:FREEZING} FREEZING \\ TRANSITION \end{array} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} T>T_g : & S(\Xi_{p}^{*})>0: exponentially-many configurations \\ & contribute to ~Z_{N} \end{array} \right. \\ T\leq T_g : & S'(\Xi_{p}^{*})=0: \ \text{sub-exponential number of configurations} \\ & contribute to ~Z_{N}: \ \text{Conden sation} \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}$

(THE OVERLAP DISTRIBUTION (ORDER PARAMETER)

Recall: in a spin-glass, because of the disorder, the
magnetization is NOT a good order parameter.
We introduce instead the Overlaps:
$$q_{N}(\vec{\sigma}',\vec{\sigma}^{P}) = \prod_{N \in I} \leq \sigma_{i}^{\alpha}\sigma_{i}^{P} = q_{N}^{\alpha P}$$

The overlap distribution is:
 $P_{N,\beta}(q) = \sum_{\alpha',\beta=1}^{2^{N}} \frac{2^{\alpha} 2^{\beta}}{Z_{N}^{2}} \delta(q-q_{N}^{\alpha \beta}) \qquad Z_{\alpha} = e^{\beta E(\vec{\sigma}^{\alpha})}$
Partition function

It is convenient to split the cases $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha = \beta$:



Boltzmann weight

For large N:

E When extract two configurations with Boltzmann measure, when N>>1 they will have similar energy density, close to equilibrium one: $E_{a} \simeq E_{B} = E_{B}$ in REM)

团 In REM, energies Ex Independent of configurations 子: Two configurations 石" and 万" such that Ex=Ep are like two random vectors of ±1, Statistically

Therefore in the REM $P_{N,\beta}(q) \stackrel{N \rightarrow 2}{\approx} \underset{\alpha \in p}{\leq} \underset{\beta(\neq \alpha)}{\frac{2\alpha \geq p}{Z_{n}^{2}}} \delta(q) + \underset{\alpha \in 1}{\overset{2^{N}}{\Rightarrow}} \frac{2^{2}}{Z_{n}^{2}} \delta(q-1)$ $Call I_{2}^{(N)}(\beta) := \underset{\alpha \in 1}{\overset{2^{N}}{\Rightarrow}} \frac{2^{2}}{Z_{n}^{2}} \quad inverse participation ratio Herfindhal index$ Here: probability to extract same config twice with Boltzmann.

How
$$I_{z}^{(N)}(\beta)$$
 scales with N?
 \blacksquare When N + 00, Boltzmann weight selects configs
with $\frac{E_{X}}{N} \simeq \frac{E_{B}}{N} = \mathcal{E}_{B}^{*}$.
Given $\vec{\sigma}^{*}$, the probability to extract $\vec{\sigma}P = \vec{\sigma}^{*}$ is:
 $I_{z}^{(N)}(\beta) \sim \frac{1}{N_{N}^{*op}(\mathcal{E}_{B}^{*})} = e^{-N S(\mathcal{E}_{B}^{*})}$

When
$$|\mathcal{E}_{p}^{(N)}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} 0$$
.
 $J_{z}^{(N)}(\mathcal{P}) \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} 0$.

This happens when $B \leq Bc = \sqrt{2log 2}$. In the low-T phase, $S(z_p^*) = 0$ and thus

$$I_{2}^{(N)}(\beta) \xrightarrow{N \to \infty} I_{2}(\beta) = O(1)$$

$$= \sum_{N \to \infty} \lim_{P_{N,\beta}} (q) = \begin{cases} \delta(q) & \beta \leq \beta_c \\ I_2(\beta) \delta(q-1) + (1 - I_2(\beta)) \delta(q) & \beta > \beta_c \end{cases}$$

$$P(q) = S(q)$$
 for $T > Tg$

The system is in a "paramagnetic" phase: equilibrium Configurations (i.e., extracted from Boltzmann measure) are Uncorrelated, q=0. Like in high-T phase of ferromagnet.

In the value
$$\overline{I_2(\beta)}$$
 (averaged) can be computed for the REM: one finds

It can be shown that for
$$T < T_c$$
:

$$T_z = \frac{T_s}{T} \frac{d}{d\mu} \log \int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\mu - \mu u^2}) \frac{-T_s^{-2}}{u} \frac{du}{du} \Big|_{\mu = 0}$$
One has:

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} \log(\dots) \Big|_{\mu = 0} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\mu u} - \frac{-7}{T_s + 1}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-\mu}) u^{-T_s + 1}} \frac{du}{du} = \frac{\Gamma(2 - T_s)}{-\Gamma(T_s + 1)}$$

Using that
$$[T(z) = \int_{0}^{\infty} dt t^{z-2} e^{t} dt dt$$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (1-e^{u}) u^{z-1} du = \underbrace{u^{-z}}_{-z} (1-e^{u}) \int_{0}^{\infty} - \int_{0}^{\infty} \underbrace{e^{u} u^{-z}}_{-z} = t \underbrace{e^{u} u^{-z}}_{-z} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{u} u^{-z} du = -\Gamma(-z)$$
Since $\frac{\Gamma(2-z)}{\Gamma(-z)} = 2(1-z)$ we find:

$$T_{2} = \frac{T_{2}}{T} \frac{T}{T_{3}} \left(1-\frac{T}{T_{3}}\right) = 1-\frac{T}{T_{3}}$$

Therefore:

$$\overline{P_{\beta}(q)} = (1 - \frac{7}{T_{g}}) \delta(q - 1) + \frac{7}{T_{g}} \delta(q) \quad T \leq T_{g}$$

Interpretation: glass phase!

In the low-T phase, the Boltzmann weight is dominated by sub-exponentically many configurations. The probability to pick up the same is O(1). Consistent with entropy crisis! However, there are more than one configuration, and they are very different from each others: thus, there is also a finite probability to extract two of them at q=0. (this is not the case in a ferromagnet!). Only for T→D, the probability to pick up the same goes to one: this configuration is the ground state.

Comments:

(A) In this calculation we used the fact that q_{AB} and E_{A}, E_{B} are unrelated to each others: this is a pewliarity of the REM! In other models, the larger is q_{AB} (the more $\overline{\sigma}^{A}$ and $\overline{\sigma}^{B}$ are similar), the more the energies $E_{A} = E(\overline{\sigma}^{A})$ and $E_{B} = E(\overline{\sigma}^{B})$ will be correlated. (B) Deriving the expression for Iz for T<TC IS not Trivial with a clinet approach. The replica method that we clisuss in next TDs gives an alternative way to do so!